

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

Honorable Tom C. King

Itate Auditor and Efficiency Expert

State Capitol

Austin, Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. 0-1694
Re: Fromissory note executed by
the Board of Federals of the
Texas State Teachers Colleges
in payment of the cost of constructing a post office building on the main campus of
North Texas State Teachers
College.

We have your letter of Hovember 13, 1939, in which you request our opinion with reference to a promissory note executed July 1, 1939, by the Board of Regents of the State Teachers Colleges of Texas, and payable to The Student Memorial Loan Fund of the North Texas State Teachers College, Depton, Texas, Accopy of said note is attached to your letter. This note, which is signed by the President and the Secretary of the Board of Regents of Texas State Teachers Colleges, reads as follows:

**~\$10,000.95** 

C-E Denton, Texas, July 1, 1939

"On or before July 1, 1957, without grace, for value received, the Board of Regents of the Texas State Teachers Colleges, acting for and in behalf of North Texas State Teachers College, Denton, Texas, premises to pay to the order of

THE STUDENTS REMORIAL LOAN FUND OF THE NORTH TEXAS STATE TEACHERS COLLEGE, DENTON, TEXAS

Ten Thousand Dollars

\$10,000.00

with interest from date at the rate of 5% per annum, on the unpaid balance, payable semi-annually on each January 1 and July 1 after date.

"This note is given for the loan of said \$10,000.00 to be used in the construction and equipment of a post office building on the main campus of North Texas State Teachers College under the specifications and supervision of Wyatt C. Hedrick Architects.

"The principal sum of this note shall be retired in installments of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars on January 1, 1942 and each January 1 thereafter except January 1, of 1953, 1955, and 1957 on which dates the installments shall be one Thousand (\$1,000.00); Dollars each, and on July 1, 1957, a final installment of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, as is set forth in the attached schedule of amortization.

"To guarantee and safeguard the payment of this note, both as to principal and interest, the Soard of Regents, through its president and secretary, hereby pledges the income, less a fixed building depreciation charge of 2% per year, from the rental of the new post office quarters by the United State Fost Office Department at One Thousand Eighty (1,080.00) Bollars per year, - (renewable ten-year rental agreement as evidenced by written acceptance of our proposal with date of June 4, 1939, by the Fourth Assistant Fostmaster General, dated June 30, 1939), and the said income shall be and is hereby set quide for the payment of this note and interest.

"It is expressly understood and agreed that this note does not constitute any personal liability, whatsoever, against any member of the Board of Regents and that it can in no wise ever be construed to be a liability against the State.

"The President and the Business Manager of the North Texas State Teachers College are hereby directed and required to apply the pledged income in the manner herein set out, until the principal and the interest of this note are fully and completely paid.

"If the rental contract should not be renewed for a sufficient length of time to retire
this note, the Board of Regents hereby pledges
whatever local funds may be available to the completion of the payments as scheduled.

President, Board of Regents

Secretary, Board of Regents
Texas State Teachers Colleges"

After calling our attention particularly to the last paragraph in said note, you request our opinion upon the following questions:

- "(1) Is the Beard of Regents empowered to borrow money from this source when the terms of the loan provide for repayment over a period of eighteen years?
- "(2) Under the terms of the note, is any person or group of persons charged with any duty of safeguarding the excess of income over note-retirement payments?
- "(3) Has the Board the power to pledge "whatever local funds may be available' several years in the future?
- "(4) If for any reason the post-office contract should not be carried out as anticipated, are any funds of the Roard subject to the repayment of this loan?
- "(5) Is the act of borrowing this money in this way in contravention of any provision of the Constitution or laws of Texas?"

Our answer to your first question is that the Board of Regents of the State Teachers' Colleges of Texas is authorized to borrow money for the construction of the post office building on the campus of the North Texas State Teachers College, and is further authorized to pledge the revenue from said building for the payment of said loan over a period of eighteen years.

The authority of the Board of Regents to borrow the money and to execute the note above set forth is contained in the provisions of Article 2603c of Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, as amended. Section 1 of said Article 2603c, as amended by Senate Bill 393, Acts, Regular Session, 46th Legislature (1939), reads in part as follows:

"Section 1. That the Board of Regents of the University of Texas and its branches, and the Board of Directors of the Agricultural and Rechanical College, and its branches, and the Board of Directors of Texas Technological College, and the Board of Regents of the State Teachers College and the Board of Directors of the College of Arts and Industries are hereby severally authorized and empowered to construct or acquire through funds or loans to be obtained from the Government of the United States, or any agency or agencies thereof, created under the Mational Fecovery Act, or otherwise created by the Federal Covernment or from any other source private or public, without cost to the State of Texas, and accept title, subject to such conditions and limitations as may be prescribed by each of the said Boards, dormitories, kitchens and dining halls, hospitals, libraries, student activity buildings, gymnasia, athletic buildings and stadia, and such other buildings as may be needed for the good of the institution and the moral welfare and social conduct of the students of such institutions when the total cost, type of construction, capacity of such buildings, as well as the other plans and specifications have been approved by the respective Governing Boards:

Section 2 of said Article 2603c, as amended by Chapter 459, Acts, Second Called Session, 44th Legislature, (1934) provides that the boards for the various colleges are authorized to fix fees and charges for the use of the buildings erected. Said section then further provides as follows:

"The fees and charges thus fixed along with all other income therefrom shall be considered as revenue derived from the operation of the buildings thus constructed.

"That said Boards are further authorized to make any contract with reference to the collection and disposition of the revenues derived from any building so constructed in the acquisition or construction, management, and maintenance of any building or buildings acquired hereunder . . . In anticipation of the collection of such revenues, and for the purpose of paying the cost of the construction or acquisition of said building or buildings and grounds, said Boards are severally empowered by resolution to authorize, sell, and deliver its negotiable bonds or notes from time to time and in such amount or amounts as it may consider necessary. . . . Any bonds or notes issued hereunder shall bear interest at not to exceed six (01) per cent per annum, and shall finally mature not hore than forty years from date."

Mection 3 of said Article 2603c, as amended by Tenate Bill 160, Acts, Regular Session, 46th Legislature, (1939) reads in part as follows:

"Sec. 3. Subject to the above restrictions, each of said Boards is given complete discretion in fixing the form, conditions and details of such bonds or notes. Any bonds or notes issued hereunder shall not be an indebtedness of the State of Texas, but shall be payable solely from the revenues to be derived from the operation of said buildings; provided that such bonds may be refinanced by the said Boards whenever such action is found by the Poard to be necessary."

Section 4 of said Article 2603c, as amended by Chapter 204, Acts, 44th Legislature (1935), provides in part as follows:

"Sec. 4. Each of said Boards is authorized and empowered to pledge all or a part of such revenues to the payment of such bonds or notes, and to enter such agreements regarding the imposition of sufficient charges and the collection, pledge and disposition of such revenues as it may deem proper."

We believe that the above quoted provisions of Article 2603c authorize the Mourd of Regents of the State

Teachers Colleges to borrow the money from the Students lemorial Loan Fund, and to provide for the payment of said loan by a note payable in installments over a period of eighteen years. Section 1 of said statute provides that such a loan may be obtained from any source, either private or public. Said section also authorizes the construction of buildings which "may be needed for the good of the institution", and we believe that a post office building would clearly come within such classification. Under Section 2 of said Article, as well as other portions of said statute above quoted, authority is given to execute notes bearing interest as high as six per cent and payable over a period not exceeding forty years.

We further believe that the above quoted statutory provisions, authorizing the Board of Regents to borrow said money and to execute a promisabry note providing for the payment thereof, are constitutional. very similar statute was involved in the case of Texas National Guard Armory Board v. McCraw, 126 C. W. (2d) 627 (Supreme Court, decided March 29, 1939). In this case it was held that a statute was constitutional which authorized the issuance of bonds by the Texas National Guard Armory Board in payment for the cost of constructing armory buildings, which bonds were to be paid out of the receipts from the leasing of said buildings. The bonds were due on or before September 1, 1970, and pledged the rents, issues and profits of the buildings erected with the proceeds of the bonds to pay the same. The 'upreme Court held that the statute authorizing the borrowing of the money and the execution of the bonds was not in violation of Sections 49 and 50 of Article 3 of the Constitution nor was it in violation of Section 6 of Article 8. The reasoning of the Supreme Court was that the bonds did not create any liability against the State of Texas, but merely an agreement to use part of the revenue to be derived from the buildings to be constructed with the money which had been borrowed by the board. The court further held that there was no pledge of the credit of the State, because the bonds were payable only out of the revenue received. Citing the case of Charles Scribner's Sons v. Marrs, 114 Tex. 11. 262 S. W. 722, the court said that "obligations that run current with revenues are not debts within the contemplation of the Constitution."

He believe that the case of Texas National Guard Roard v. McCraw, supra, is directly in point and sustains the constitutionality of the provisions of Article 2603c,

insofar as said article authorizes the borrowing of the money for the construction of a post office building by the board of regents and the execution of the promissory note above quoted, providing for the repayment of said loan over a period of eighteen years, and pledging the revenue from said building to the payment of said loan. The following cases also sustain the conclusion here reached: Lower Colorado River Authority v. EcCraw, 125 Tex. 268, 83 %. W. (2d) 629; Brazos River Conservation and Reclamation District v. EcCraw, 126 Tex. 506, 91 %. (2d) 685; Cherokee County v. Odom, 118 Tex. 288, 15 %. (2d) 538.

Cur answer to your second question is that under the law, the group of persons charged with the duty of safeguarding the excess of income over the amount necessary to take care of the payments provided for in said note, is the Board of Resents of the State Teachers Colleges, and that said Board of Regents, under the law, and under the terms of said note, may validly delegate the clerical and administrative details in connection with the handling of said funds to the President and the Business hanager of the North Texas State Teachers College, or to such other employees or agents as they may deem proper. Said Board of Regents and such agents or employees must, of course, comply with the statutes regarding the proper disposition of such funds.

Article 2644 of the Revised Civil Statutes provide that the general control and management of the State Seachers Colleges of Texas shall be vested in the Board of Regents of the State Teachers Colleges.

Tection 6 of Article 2603c of the Revised Civil Statutes, as amended, provides as follows:

"Upon the acquisition, construction, or erection of such building or buildings, absolute control and management shall wast in a respective board of the institution of the building so constructed or acquired, subject to any condition that may be provided in the grant."

Under the provisions of the statutes quoted above, the ultimate duty and responsibility of safeguarding the revenues from the post office building would be in the Board of Regents of the Texas State Teachers Colleges. However, it

is well recognized that an administrative board may designate agents to perform clerical or purely administrative duties, Pussell v. Cage, 66 Tex. 428, 1 3. W. 270; and the board of Pegents would therefore be permitted to delegate such duties to the Fresident or the Pusiness Fanager or some other employee or agent of said Board.

The second from the last paragraph in the note, quoted above, provides that the Tresident and the Business Lanager of the North Texas State Teachers College are directed and required to apply the said income in the manner set out in the note, but we do not think that the provision of the note would prevent the Board of Regents from designating other persons to handle the revenue from this building, if they saw fit to do so.

Our answer to your third question is that in our opinion the Board of Fegents of the Texas State Teachers Colleges does not have the lawful power to pledge local funds which may be available several years in the future.

Section 3 of Article 2603c, which we have quoted above, provides that a note issued under the authority of said article, "shall not be an indebtedness of the State of Texas, but shall be payable solely from the revenue to be derived from the operation of said building." There is no other provision of said article which would authorize the pledging of local funds.

Article 2654d, Section 1, of Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, provides in part as follows:

"The governing boards of the . . . North Texas State Teachers College at Denton, . . . may retain control respectively of the following sums of money collected at each of said several institutions in carrying out the functions of an educational institution, such as funds collected from student fees of all kinds; charges for use of rooms and dormitories; receipts from meals, cases and caseterias; sees on deposit refundable to students under certain conditions; receipts from school athletic activities; income from student publications or other student activities; receipts from sale of publication products and miscellaneous supplies and equipment; students' voluntary deposits of

money with said schools for safe keeping; all other fees and local institutional income of a strictly local nature arising out of and by virtue of the educational activities, or research or demonstration carried on by each and all of said several schools."

It will be noted that Article 2674d provides that the board "may retain control" of local or institutional funds, but said article does not give express authority to the board of regents to pledge local funds which may be available in the future. Then all of the sections of Article 2654d are construed together, we believe that it is evident that the intention of the Legislature was to give the local boards merely the right to keep such local funds in a local depository, instead of requiring that they be transmitted to the State Treasury, and that there was no intention to confer on such boards the power to pledge such local funds as might accumulate in the future. The power to pledge such funds is, we believe, one which the Board of Regents would not have in the absence of express legislative authority. It is well settled that "public officers and governmental and administrative boards possess only such powers as are expressly conferred upon them by law or are necessarily implied from the powers conferred." 34 Tex. Juris. 440.

In the case of Fort Worth Cavalry Club v. Sheppard, 125 Tex. 339, 83 %. 7. (2d) 860, Judge Critz of the Supreme Court said:

"All public offices and officers are creatures of law. The powers and duties of public officers are defined and limited by law. By being defined and limited by law, we mean the act of a public officer must be expressly authorized by law, or implied therefrom. 28 F.C.L., p. 555, 5 114. It follows from the above that public officers may make only such contracts for the government they represent as they are authorized by law to make."

Article 2909a, of Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, as amended by Senate Bill 28, Acts, Regular Session, 46th Legislature (1939), authorizes the use of local funds in payment of the notes or bonds which may be issued for the construction of buildings, in certain instances. Section 1 of said Article provides in part as follows:

"... nor shall any local or institutional funds in excess of twenty-five (25%) per cent of the total for any calendar year ever be used for the payment of said notes or bonds. It being the intention of the Legislature to authorize the payment of said notes and bonds solely from revenues derived from the improvements authorized herein and an emergency to be supplemented from local funds not exceeding twenty-five (25%) per cent for any fiscal year."

It will be noted that this article does not authorize the pledging of local funds but merely their use in case of emergency. Furthermore, we believe that this article is no authority for the pledging of local funds in this case, because said article applies only to "dormitories, colleges or stadiums" and would not apply to other buildings. In this connection, we refer you to our opinion No. 0-1273, by Assistant Attorney General Clarence E. Growe, addressed to Honorable J. A. Hill, President, "est lexas "tate Teachers College, wherein we held, among other things, that said article (referred to in said opinion as Senate Bill 28) authorized the use of local funds only for the buildings specifically named in Section 1 of said article. For your information, a copy of said opinion is enclosed herewith.

Our answer to your fourth question is that if the post office contract is not carried out as anticipated, and the revenue from the rental or use of said building is not sufficient to meet the payments on the note, the Board of Regents may not use any local or other funds except the revenue from said building for the payment of the said note.

As we have heretofore pointed out, the note expressly provides that "it can in no wise be construed to be a liability against the State." Furthermore, Article 2603c, quoted above, provides that such note shall be payable solely from the revenues to be derived from the operation of said building. The power of the regents to execute the note, and to provide for the payment of the loan out of the revenues from said building over a period of eighteen years, is exempt from the constitutional prohibitions in Article 3, ections 49 and 50, and Article 8, Section 6, solely because no debt against the State is created and there is no pledging of the credit of the State, nor is there any appropriation of public funds in the pledging of the revenues from

the building.

It would seem therefore clearly to follow that an appropriation of public funds, either from the general fund in the State Treasury, or from local or institutional funds, would be in violation of Article 5, Section 44, of the Constitution, which provides in part that the Legislature shall not "grant, by appropriation or otherwise, any amount of money out of the treasury of the State, to any individual, on a claim, real or pretended, when the same shall not have been provided for by preexisting law. . . ."

There being no liability against the State because of the construction of said building; under the express terms of the contract and of the statute authorizing the construction of the building, there would be no "pre-existing law" to serve as the basis of the appropriations of any public funds to repay the loan, and such appropriations would be in violation of Article 3, Section 44. Fort worth Cavalry Club v. Sheppard, 125 Tex. 339, 83 S. W. (2d) 660.

We believe that the same prohibition would apply to local funds as well as to funds which had been deposited in the State Treasury. This Department has previously ruled the similar fees, collected by the Department of Education, are public funds. See conference opinion No. 3012, dated February 3, 1938, by Assistant Attorney General William J. Kemp, addressed to Hon. Tom C. King, State Auditor and Efficiency Expert, and found in the published opinions of the Attorney General, 1936-1938, page 127.

Furthermore we wish to direct your attention to the fact that the local funds of the educational institutions have been treated by the Legislature as public funds, in that they have been appropriated to such institutions in the general educational appropriation bill. House Bill No. 255, Acts, Regular Session, 46th Legislature (1939), in subdivision 3 of the general provisions attached to said bill, provides as follows:

"Subsection (3) Institutional Receipts. No property belonging to any of the institutions herein provided for, or any agency thereof, shall be sold or disposed of without the consent of its

governing board, and all proceeds from the sale of such property, from labor performed, from the sale of materials, crops and supplies, from fees and any and all other receipts shall become and are hereby appropriated as maintenance or contingent fund to be expended under the direction and with the approval of the governing board having jurisdiction. aid governing boards are author-Ized to use out of the proceeds of said receipts and funds, in accordance with the provisions of this Act, such amounts as they shall deem necessary for the support, maintenance, operation and improvements of said institutions. Any balances remaining to the credit of any of said institutional local funds at said institutions or in the tate Treasury at the end of any fiscal year are hereby reappropriated for the above mentioned purposes for the succeeding year."

e believe that there should be no distinction hade between funds required by law to be deposited in the State Treasury and local educational or institutional funds, which under the statute may be retained and placed in despoitory banks by the Board of Regents of the State Teachers Colleges, and that the Board of Regents would not be authorized to use either appropriations from the general fund or such local or institutional funds in payment of the note quoted above.

We believe that in answering your first four questions we have already answered your fifth question, which deals with the question as to whether the said actions of the Board of Regents are in contravention of any provisions of the Constitution or laws of Texas.

Very truly yours

AFFROMED DEC 21, 1939

Gernest. Than

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By Sames P. Hart
Assistant

JFH: ALL

LINCLO WAE

